

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 SPENCER NEAMAN, et al.,

Case No. 2:16-CV-217 JCM (PAL)

8 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

9 v.

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EX REL  
11 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
12 HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al.

13 Defendant(s).

14  
15 Presently before the court are three motions *in limine* filed by plaintiffs Spencer and  
16 Jacqueline Neaman (“plaintiffs”). (ECF Nos. 67, 70, 71). Defendant United States of America  
17 (“United States”) responded. (ECF Nos. 75–77).

18 Pursuant to Local Rule 16-3, “[m]otions *in limine* will not be considered unless the movant  
19 attaches a statement certifying that the parties have participated in the meet-and-confer process  
20 and have been unable to resolve the matter without court action.” LR 16-3(a). As used in the  
21 Local Rules, ‘meet and confer’ means “to communicate directly and discuss in good faith the  
22 issues required under the particular rule or court order.” LR IA 1-3(f).

23 Plaintiffs have not filed with the court a statement certifying that they met and conferred  
24 with counsel for the United States. Further, the United States contends that plaintiffs in fact did  
25 not attempt to meet and confer with counsel for the United States. (ECF Nos. 75-77).

26 Accordingly, the court will not consider plaintiffs’ motions *in limine* for failure to comply  
27 with Local Rule 16-3.

28 ...

1                   **I.       Conclusion**

2                   Accordingly,

3                   IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion *in limine* 1 (ECF No. 67) is DENIED.

4                   IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion *in limine* 2 (ECF No. 70) is DENIED.

5                   IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion *in limine* 3 (ECF No. 71) is DENIED.

6                   DATED May 31, 2018.

7  
8                     
9                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE